

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,977	08/04/2003	John M. Swant	FSP0023	6951	
7590 06/15/2006			EXAM	INER	
Charles A. Mirho 112 W. 37th St.			PHAM, TUAN		
Vancouver, W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2618		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/63	4,977	SWANT, JOHN	SWANT, JOHN M.		
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		TUAN	A. PHAM	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA INSIGHT IN THE MAIN	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ratication. tory period will apply a II, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN to event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)		)⊠ This action	is non-final.				
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		r b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	<del>-</del>					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cath or declaration is objected to be						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> a)∫	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have ocuments have the priority doc al Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	al Stage		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.040)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	•	_	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P <sup>*</sup>	ГО-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. <u>Claims 1-4, 6-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kiukkonen et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203466, hereinafter,"Kiukkonen").</u>

Regarding claim 1, Kiukkonen teaches a method of testing performance of a receiver, the method comprising.

establishing a communication link between a transmitter and a receiver (see figure 5, TX 400A, receiving part of base station ([0033-0036]);

Application/Control Number: 10/634,977

Art Unit: 2618

transmitting from the transmitter a signal bearing a predetermined message at a predetermined attenuation (see figure 5, [0033-0036], the predetermine attenuation is 0.1 dB);

receiving the predetermined message at an antenna coupled to a receiver (see [0029]);

measuring the power of the signal received by the antenna at a point between the receiver and the antenna ([0026-0028]);

calculating a bit-error rate by comparing the receiver output to the predetermined message (see [0026-0028]); and

determining receiver performance by evaluating the bit-error rate, the predetermined attenuation, and the received message power (see [0026-0028, 0034-0035]).

Regarding claim 2, Kiukkonen further teaches receiver is deployed in a communication network (see [0036] GSM system).

**Regarding claim 3**, Kiukkonen further teaches cellular network (see [0036] GSM system).

**Regarding claim 4**, Kiukkonen further teaches at least one selected from the group of a voice channel, a data channel, and a control channel (see [0022]).

Regarding claim 6, Kiukkonen teaches in a mobile communication network, comprising:

a radio base station receiver test system (see figure 5, transmitter 400A) that transmits a predetermined message to a base station receiver (see figure 5, receiving

Application/Control Number: 10/634,977

Art Unit: 2618

part, col.4, [0036]) at a predetermined attenuation (see [0034], predetermine attenuation 0.1dB0, that measures received power at the antenna ([0029]), that calculates the biterror rate of the predetermined message received by the radio base station receiver (see [0026]), and determines receiver performance quality as a function of the bit-error rate, measured power and predetermined attenuation (see [0026-0034]).

**Regarding claim 7**, Kiukkonen further teaches cellular network (see [0036] GSM system).

Regarding claim 8, Kiukkonen further teaches GSM communication network (see [0036] GSM system).

Regarding claim 9, Kiukkonen teaches in computer readable medium, a receiver testing application supporting field testing of base station receivers in a mobile communication network (see figure 5, [0002]), comprising:

a routine for establishing a communication link between a transmitter and a receiver (see figure 5, TX 400A, receiving part of base station ([0033-0036]);

a bit-error rate detector routine that compares a received message to a predetermined message to determine errors in the received message (see [0026]);

a control routine for controlling transmission attenuation level of a signal bearing the predetermined message (see [0034-0036]);

a communication routine for requesting measured power of received signals having the predetermined message (see [0026]); and

an evaluation routine for comparing the measured power, bit-error rate, and attenuation to determine receiver performance (see [0026-0036]).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 10, Kiukkonen further teaches the communication routine requests the measured power before the received message enters the receiver (see [0033]).

Regarding claim 11, Kiukkonen further teaches the control routine increases the transmission attenuation level in response to the signal bearing the predetermined message (see [0035-0036]).

Regarding claim 12, Kiukkonen further teaches the communication routine requests the measured power from a power measurement device (see [0026]).

Regarding claim 14, Kiukkonen teaches in a cellular communication network, a method of determining base station receiver performance, comprising:

transmitting a known message at a known attenuation level (see figure 5, [0034]);

receiving the message at an antenna coupled to a base station receiver (see figure 5, receiving part, [0029, 0036]);

measuring the power of the received message (see [0026]);

transmitting the received message from the base station receiver to a network element (see figure 5, signal receive at antenna to transmit to receiving part included ATT 515);

calculating the bit error rate of the received message at the network element (see [0026]); and

Art Unit: 2618

evaluating performance of the base station receiver by analysis of the bit-error rate in a plurality of received messages as a function of attenuation and received message power (see [0026-0034]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>

  <u>over Larsen (U.S. patent No.: 6,965,568) in view of Kiukkonen et al. (Pub. No.: US</u>

  2004/0203466, hereinafter,"Kiukkonen").

Regarding claim 15, Larsen teaches a receiver (see figure 8), comprising:

a power measurement device (see figure 8, power measurement 161);

an attenuator coupled to the power measurement device (see attenuator 102, power measurement 161);

a mobile station (read on transceiver)(see figure 8, col.13, In.58-67); and a controller coupled to the attenuator and the mobile station, wherein, the controller is programmable to initiate a communication link via the mobile station to a remote device and transmit a predetermined message to said remote device (see figure 8, col.3, In.22-60).

It should be noticed that Larsen fails to teach receiver test unit. However,

Art Unit: 2618

Kiukkonen teaches such feature (see figure 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kiukkonen into view of Larsen in order to perform the test at base station as suggested by Kiukkonen at col.1, [0005].

Regarding claim 16, after combine, Kiukkonen further teaches the receiver under test and antenna under test, and Larsen teach a power measurement (see figure 8, power measurement 161).

Regarding claim 17, Kiukkonen further teaches the power measurement device measures the received signal power of the predetermined message at a point prior to a receiver-under-test's input (see [0026]).

5 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kiukkonen et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203466, hereinafter, "Kiukkonen") in view of

Ostman et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,529,494, hereinafter, "Ostman").

Regarding claim 5, Kiukkonen discloses invention, but fails to disclose increasing the magnitude of the predetermined attenuation until the communication link is dropped. However, Ostman teaches such features (see col.5, In.4-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ostman into view of Kiukkonen in order to perform the test at base station as suggested by Kiukkonen at col.1, [0005].

Application/Control Number: 10/634,977 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kiukkonen et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203466, hereinafter, "Kiukkonen") in view of

Laham et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,507,737, hereinafter, "Laham").

Regarding claim 13, Kiukkonen discloses invention, but fails to disclose the evaluation routine medium resides in a MSC test unit. However, Laham teaches such features (see figure 1, col.9, In.7-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Laham into view of Kiukkonen in order to perform the test at base station as suggested by Kiukkonen at col.1, [0005].

## Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, the applicants are also requested to consider the following references. Although Bradley et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,642,039), Mintz (U.S. Patent No. 6,266,527), LaMedica. Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 7,024,161), and Elbatt et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0062551) are not applied into this Office Action; they are also called to Applicants attention. They may be used in future Office Action(s).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/634,977

Art Unit: 2618

Page 9

(571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618 June 5, 2006

Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Matthew Anderson